

Radiation Therapy of Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Sunday, 23 March 2008

Last Updated Sunday, 12 October 2008

This 48-page report offers an exhaustive analysis of the complex role of radiation therapy in the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), including details of the major clinical trials on which, historically, the use of radiation in NSCLC has been based. The report also provides full explanations of the various methods of delivering radiation therapy. This is an empowering guide to radiation treatment for lung cancer patients and their families.

The report is available for immediate download by clicking [here](#).

With such a wide range of possible presentations and outcomes, it is perhaps not surprising that no single standard of treatment has emerged as clearly better than the rest. For many years it was simply assumed that all men diagnosed with prostate cancer, even those with tumors localized to the prostate gland, required surgical removal of the gland in a major procedure known as radical prostatectomy (RP). But with the advent of better techniques for delivering radiation to the prostate gland, radiation treatment has gradually become an accepted treatment option, in many cases enabling men to forego surgery altogether. Yet there is almost no scientific evidence to indicate which method of treatment is superior in terms of effectiveness and treatment outcome. In fact, there is not even a solid consensus about whether all patients actually require intervention, or whether they can be safely managed conservatively with no immediate treatment.

Just last month, at the American Society of Clinical Oncology's 2008 Genitourinary Cancers Symposium, held in San Francisco, the results of a major prostate cancer study were released. This study, the largest to date investigating the progress and outcome of localized prostate cancer in older men, found that in the majority of such cases there was no need for interventions such as surgery or radiation; these cases could be managed purely by 'watchful waiting' - i.e., periodic observation and monitoring of PSA levels.

The release of these results came soon after the publication of another important prostate cancer study which also concluded that older men with localized prostate cancer did not, as a rule, need to be treated aggressively (Wilt 2008).

Interviewed by Reuters Health, the lead author of this study, Timothy Wilt, MD, MPH, of the Minneapolis Veterans Administration Center for Chronic Disease Outcomes Research, commented on the sparseness of solid data evaluating many of the treatments, such as radiation and surgery, that are routinely offered to men with localized prostate cancer. Because there have been so few well-designed randomized, controlled clinical trials (RCTs), "patients and providers must make treatment decisions based on limited and inadequate information," he said.

With so much uncertainty surrounding the optimum approach to localized prostate cancer, how are men to make sound treatment choices? Can radiation be safely substituted for surgery? Do men treated with radiation alone have the same outcome as men treated with surgery? How safe is radiation for prostate cancer? What are the adverse effects and complications of radiation treatment for prostate cancer?

This 33-page report is designed to help prostate cancer patients understand how radiation is used in the treatment of prostate cancer, and to provide patients with the tools they need in order to make a careful, informed assessment of whether or not radiation therapy is likely to be the treatment of choice in their particular case. To purchase this report, please click [here](#).